SEE MISTRUCTION ON SECOND PAGE OF FORM

EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

From: Sent: Sent: Tuesday, June 29, 201 Fro: Eric Clark Sam DeLeon Sam DeLeon Kenneth Snyder	0 5:02 PM DIS OFFIST THE MEM TANABO	
To: Cc: Sam DeLeon Subject: Sam Sam Seleon Kenneth Snyder		
Subject: Sam DeLeon Kenneth Snyder		
Subject: Sub		
The state of the s		
	ISWING SUPERVISOR	
INCOMES AND AMENOTABLE SYSTEMSON.		
Eric:		
received hard copies of Mr. Snyder's eval lew minor items identified in the electronic convenience to discuss. Regards.		
wotion is considered or when applicat a \$94.907		
Association - contains and a leasure		
94-1385EEmploy Perform.xls		
PERIORITANS		SUALITY OF WORK- COMMENTS:
	en asked to complete a task. ******	
DESIMINATION FOR RATING TOOLS AS PURD.		
Samuel W. De Leon		
Director Human Resources		
Cansas City Board of Public Utilities		
Office: 913.573.6915		
Aobile: 913.645.1415		
ax: 913.573.6903		DEPENDABILITY- DMMENTS
- Muli. delecties bbo. Colli		
X X		
TO TO SERVICE THE PROPERTY OF		
		Ar. Snyder does not volu- te refuses to take on tes
"D" - Odistandos - Acdos#tighed et job te		
The second secon		
SOOD DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER		
	ENT (IF APPROPRIATE)	
APPROVALS: The Performance Evaluation is a		
APPROVALS: The Performance Evaluation is a evaluating officer; Porther reviews are to be at t		

SEE INSTRUCTION ON SECOND PAGE OF FORM

EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

		Incommon Title	BOTT LEUNEN		-			DATE		
NAME		POSITION TITLE								
Kenneth W. Snyder		Traffic Signal Te	chnician	June 29	100	4.000				-5115
OCATION		DEPARTMENT			te()	DIVISIO	N			
Service Center		EO Traffic Signa	1			Electri	c Opera			
EVALUATING OFFICER	REVIEWI	NG SUPERVISOR	DA	TE PRESE	NT PC	SITION	DAT	E LAST	REVIE	W
	Crea D	00000								
Eric Clark	Greg De		HED (EVD)	MAIN						-01
ONE O SVENT				a'nebyr	CHECK AFFLICABLE BOX					
A your earliest				A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	U	i beili	G	iligns	1001	n w
KNOWLEDGE OF JOB-AC	LEAR UNDERSTANDING OF T	HE FACTS OR FACTORS PER	RTINENT TO JOS	3						
COMMENTS: Mr. Snyder is unable to com	plete work on jobs and	tasks required of the po	sition.							
Mr. Snyder is unable to com	piete work on jobs and	tuono roquitor or me pe		I	X					
								yok	15EEmp	138
QUALITY OF WORK- THO	DROUGHNESS, ACCURACY A	ND NEATNESS OF WORK								
COMMENTS:		trad to complete a tack	*******DI F	SE						
Mr. Snyder does not pay atte PROVIDE EXAMPLE(S)****	ention to detail when as	ked to complete a task.	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Г	x					7
PROVIDE EXAMPLE(3)							Lmn	\AI	Leve 11	_
PRODUCTIVITY- DEF	MONSTRATED ACCOMPLISH	MENTS, VOLUME OF WORK						1111		
COMMENTS:										
Mr. Snyder is not completing tasks in a timely manner. His volume of work is unsatisfactory			tory		ding.		C S A		7	
due to multiple personal call	s during company time.			L	X					_
DEPENDABILITY- CO	NSCIENTIOUS, RESPONSIBLE	F RELIABLE WITH RESPECT	TO ATTENDANG	Œ,			A P LA	AG.0	11.0	
COMMENTS: WO	RK COMPLETION							96.57		
On (DATE), Mr. Snyder was asked to (identify the task/job). When he completed the (?) it			it					T	7	
was unable to properly func	tion in the field.			L	X					_
	ILITY AND WILLINGNESS TO	WORK WITH ASSOCIATES SI	UPERVISORS				4			
COMMENTS: AN	DOTHERS									
Mr Snyder does not volunte	eer to work with others	on calls during the day t	o day operat	ions.					_	7
He refuses to take on tasks	identified in the job des	scription.			X					
SAFETY PRACTICE- PR COMMENTS:	ACTICES GOOD SAFETY HAE	5118		200						
Miles in the field Mr Soud	er is distracted from his	work with constant pers	sonal phone	calls.						7
His constant use of his pers workers.	sonal cell phone creates	unsafe conditions for h	nimself and fo	or co-	X					
- 10	OCUMENTATION REQUIRED	,								
OVERALL RATING BASED ON ABOVE	x UNSATISFACTO	ORY FAIR	GOOD	*[EX	CELLE	NT '		UTSTA	NDIN
AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	FOR IMPROVEMENT									

EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE

REVIEWING SUPERVISOR SIGNATURE

DATE

DATE

Form No.: 094-1385E (03/05) 1 or 2

EVALUATING OFFICER SIGNATURE

SIGNATURES

DATE

C. EMPLOYEE COMMENTS:

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete Form No. 094-1385 (03/05) for all non-exempt and non-represented hourly employees as follows:

- 1. PROBATION Review each probationary employ every 30 days.
- SEMI-ANNUAL Midyear review to evaluate progress of employee may be performed if an employee receives and unfavorable evaluation.
- ANNUAL REVIEW All employees are reviewed once a year during their anniversary month. (The month in which the employee assumed his current position.)
- OTHER When performance falls considerably below standard, and probationary status or disciplinary action is considered or when special achievement is to be noted.

SUPERVISOR - Complete Section A "Performance Characteristic" indicate the level of performance for each characteristic by checking the appropriate box, and B "Development Plan for Improvement."

EMPLOYEE - Complete Section C "Employee Comments."

DEFINITION FOR RATING TO BE APPLIED:

"U" - Unsatisfactory	-Many job tasks unrealized or many tasks not performed. (You must attach supporting documentation.)
"F" - Fair (Below Standard)	-Accomplished some jog tasks or performed some tasks assigned or performed with ability.
"G" - Good (Standard)	-Accomplished substantially all job tasks or performed all tasks assigned.
"E" - Excellent (Above Standard)	-Accomplished all job tasks or performed all tasks and excelled in some (You must attach supporting documentation.)
"O" - Outstanding	-Accomplished all job tasks or performed all tasks and excelled in a substantial manner. (You must attach supporting documentation)

ESTIMATE OF EMPLOYEE'S ALL-AROUND PERFORMANCE - Check the box which most adequately describes overall performance. Keep in mind that in spite of some individual Performance Characteristic being either exemplary or poor, his overall performance may be somewhat different.

- B. DEVELOPMENT PLAN Recognizing the incumbent's strengths, weaknesses and potential, indicate plans for future development the employee can pursue for self improvement.
- C. EMPLOYEE COMMENTS Employees should describe how well they feel they are progressing and area(s) in which they require training and/or assistance.

APPROVALS: The Performance Evaluation is reviewed by at least one level of supervision above the evaluating officer. Further reviews are to be at the discretion of the department head or higher management.